UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,706	10/30/2001	Mark D. Seaman	10008306-1	2769	
	7590 11/06/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ROSARIO, DENNIS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>, -</b>			2624		
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/002,706	SEAMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis Rosario	2624	

	Dennis Rosario	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) 🛛 wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4,5,7-18 and 27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t hofore or on the date of files a N	adian of Ammanl	46
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered busee attached Response to After Final Amendment.		n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.  Other:		· A D	·
		Mouh	C. Bells

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

Application/Control Number: 10/002,706

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to After Final Amendment

1. The after final amendment was received on 10/12/07. Claims 4,5,7-18 and 27 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments on pages 7,8 filed 10/12/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

"From, the above excerpt, it can be appreciated that Applicant explicitly describe image analysis algorithms that analyze image data to identify particular scene content and recognize locations from that scene content."

The examiner respectfully disagrees since the examiner believes that the excerpt, corresponding to page 10, line 13 to page 11, line 14•of the specification does not combine locations with scene content as Applicant explicitly described, above. Thus, the 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection and accordingly the 35 USC 102 rejection and all 35 USC 103(a) rejections are maintained.

Upon further review of the excerpt, the specification differentiates "location recognition information" on page 11, line 6 from "information about the location where image 110 was captured" on page 11, line 12 via "other, types of information" on page 11, line 10.

Art Unit: 2624

Thus, "location recognition information" means to the examiner a specific location within an image such as the central, upper location of an image, and "information about the location where image 110 was captured" means to the examiner a location corresponding to a global positioning system that is related to an image. Both locations are distinct as prompted by said "other, types of information."

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Rosario whose telephone number is (571) 272-7397. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dennis Rosario Unit 2624